

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Back 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,910	07/25/2003	James E. Strargaard	GVC.00001US	3459	
7	590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER		
Richard W. Hoffmann PO Box 70098			NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L		
Rochester Hills, MI 48307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		Mim
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/627,910	STAARGAARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772	

	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing that three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because								
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See attached sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 a	` ''							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome-the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	□ will not be entered, or b) □ will	I be entered and an e	explanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,13 and 22-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-21</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See attached sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Continuation of #3: Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 22 by adding proposed new limitations "one integrally formed" and "so as to permit said plastic component to be fastened with at least one other component", which requires a new search and/or further consideration.

**Continuation of #11:** The application is not placed in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to proposed claim amendments, which are not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Specifically, the applicant's arguments drawn to the limitations "one integrally formed" and "so as to permit said plastic component to be fastened with at least one other component" have not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's arguments of record are not found persuasive because they rely on the non-entered amendments. Applicant is referred back to the final rejection of record in the paper dated April 8, 2005.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1772

pln

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
6/20/05